

M.H

PENT COOPERATION TRF

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION
(PCT Rule 61.2)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

To:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
 United States Patent and Trademark
 Office
 Box PCT
 Washington, D.C.20231
 ÉTATS-UNIS D'AMÉRIQUE

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 16 February 2000 (16.02.00)	To: in its capacity as elected Office
International application No. PCT/GB99/01159	Applicant's or agent's file reference A25594 WO
International filing date (day/month/year) 15 April 1999 (15.04.99)	Priority date (day/month/year) 21 April 1998 (21.04.98)
Applicant COTTER, David et al	

1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:

in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on:

08 November 1999 (08.11.99)

in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:

2. The election was

was not

made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35	Authorized officer S. Mafla Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38
---	--

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference A25594 WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No. PCT/GB99/01159	International filing date (day/month/year) 15/04/1999	Priority date (day/month/year) 21/04/1998	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC H04L7/00			
Applicant BRITISH TELECOMMUNICATIONS PUBLIC LIMITED et al.			
<p>1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of 10 sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).</p> <p>These annexes consist of a total of sheets.</p>			
<p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the report II <input type="checkbox"/> Priority III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited VII <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application VIII <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application 			

Date of submission of the demand 08/11/1999	Date of completion of this report 20.06.2000
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Authorized officer Grimaldo, M Telephone No. +49 89 2399 7513



**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/GB99/01159

I. Basis of the report

1. This report has been drawn on the basis of (*substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.*):

Description, pages:

1-37 as originally filed

Claims, No.:

1-56 as originally filed

Drawings, sheets:

1/26-26/26 as originally filed

2. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

the description, pages:
 the claims, Nos.:
 the drawings, sheets:

3. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):

4. Additional observations, if necessary:

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/GB99/01159

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes:	Claims 3-19, 21-23, 25-50, 52-55
	No:	Claims 1, 2, 20, 24, 51, 56
Inventive step (IS)	Yes:	Claims
	No:	Claims 1-56
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims 1-56
	No:	Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/GB99/01159

Cited documents

The following documents are mentioned in the search report:

- D1: HAAS Z: "THE 'STAGGERING SWITCH: AN ELECTRONICALLY CONTROLLED OPTICAL PACKETSWITCH", JOURNAL OF LIGHTWAVE TECHNOLOGY, vol. 11, no. 5/06, 1 May 1993 (1993-05-01), pages 925-936
- D2: EP-A-0 589 617
- D3: EP-A-0 675 664
- D4: SEO S -W ET AL: "TRANSPARENT OPTICAL NETWORKS WITH TIME-DIVISION MULTIPLEXING", IEEE JOURNAL ON SELECTED AREAS IN COMMUNICATIONS, vol. 14, no. 5, 1 June 1996, pages 1039-1051
- D5: GB-A-2 302 225

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Document D2, which is considered to be the closest prior art for independent claim 2, discloses a method of operating an optical regenerator comprising the steps of:
receiving an optical packet at an input of the regenerator and generating from said optical packet a regenerated optical packet (abstract, lines 1-7 and column 2, lines 38-40) having a phase determined by a local bit-level clock source and independent of the bit-level phase of the said packet received at one node (column 1, lines 27-35 and column 3, lines 2-7).

It is therefore considered that the subject-matter of independent claim 2 is already known from document D2 and the subject-matter of claim 2 lacks novelty in the sense of Article 33(1) and 33(2) PCT.

2. Independent claim 1 although phrased as a claim for a "method of operating a node in an optical communications network" is nevertheless a simple repetition of

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/GB99/01159

the subject-matter of claim 2 and as a consequence lacks novelty in the sense of Article 33(1) and 33(2) PCT for the same reasons.

3. Independent claim 20 although phrased as a claim for a "method of operating a communications network" is nevertheless a simple repetition of the subject-matter of claim 2.

The only difference is that the node performing the regeneration is included in a communications network comprising a plurality of nodes interconnected by an optical transmission medium.

However a person skilled in the art would consider this as a feature not adding any inventive matter to the disclosure of claim 2 because the aim is to apply the method as claimed in claim 2 to a communications network.

Thus, the subject-matter of claim 20 does not involve an inventive step and claim 20 does not satisfy the criteria set forth in Article 33(1) and 33(3) PCT.

4. Document D2, which is considered to be the closest prior art for independent claim 23, discloses a regenerator for optical packets including a local optical pulse generator (abstract, lines 1-7) comprising a free-running oscillator independent in phase from the packet source (column 1, lines 27-35 and column 3, lines 2-7).

Document D2, however, differs from the device of claim 23 in that it does not disclose a free-running oscillator independent in frequency form the packet source for the purpose to not be obliged to have synchronization between the oscillator and the packet source that cause severe restrictions in an architecture of a communications network.

However a similar solution is disclosed by document D1 where an oscillator (local clock) in a node (optical packet switch) is unsynchronised from the packet source (other node) in order to solve the problem mentioned above (page 932, left column, lines 20-22).

As a consequence a person skilled in the art of optical communication network

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/GB99/01159

would consider it obvious to apply the solution disclosed by document D1 to the regenerator presented by document D2 to have less restriction in the network architecture.

Thus, the subject-matter of claim 23 does not involve an inventive step and claim 23 does not satisfy the criteria set forth in Article 33(1) and 33(3) PCT.

5. Independent claim 24 although phrased as an apparatus claim (regenerator) is nevertheless a simple repetition of the subject-matter of claim 2 and as a consequence lacks novelty in the sense of Article 33(1) and 33(2) PCT for the same reasons.
6. It should be noted that even if the Applicant were to interpret claims 1, 2, 20 and 24 in such a manner as to enable him to allege that their subject-matter were novel, based on **minor differences** between the features of these claims and those disclosed in document D2, the subject-matter of these claims would still not involve an inventive step, Article 33(1) and 33(3) of the PCT, having regard to the disclosure of D2.
7. Because of the vague and broad formulation of claims 51 and 56 their disclosure can be read onto document D1 (page 932, left column, lines 13-22 and abstract, lines 18-19).

It is therefore considered that the subject-matter of independent claims 51 and 56 is already known from document D1 and the subject-matter of claims 51 and 56 lacks novelty in the sense of Article 33(1) and 33(2) PCT.

8. It should be noted that even if the Applicant were to interpret claims 51 and 56 in such a manner as to enable him to allege that their subject-matter were novel, based on **minor differences** between the features of these claims and those disclosed in document D1, the subject-matter of these claims would still not

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/GB99/01159

involve an inventive step, Article 33(1) and 33(3) of the PCT, having regard to the disclosure of D1.

9. Dependent claims 3-19, 21-22, 25-30, 34-48 and 52-55 do not seem to contain any features which, in combination with the features of any of the claims upon which they are dependent, would lead to a claim involving inventive activity (Article 33(3) of the PCT).

Their subject-matter is indeed either derivable from the above-cited documents or concerns simple embodiments without any inventive merit in themselves.

10. Independent claims 31, 32, 33, 49, 50 specify the use of an apparatus or a method (in a node or in a communication network) which has been proved not being inventive and as a consequence they do not fulfill the requirement of Article 33(1) and 33(3) of the PCT, having regard to the inventive step.

VII. Certain defects in the international application

1. To meet the requirements of Rule 6.3(b) PCT, the independent claims should be properly cast in the two-part form, with those features which in combination are part of the prior art (see respectively documents D1 and D2), being placed in the preamble.
2. To meet the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, documents D1 and D2 should be identified in the description and the relevant background art disclosed therein should be briefly discussed.
3. Reference signs in parentheses should be inserted in the claims to increase their intelligibility, Rule 6.2(b) PCT. This applies to both the preamble and characterising portion.

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/GB99/01159

4. In order to fulfil the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(iii) PCT, the description should be brought into conformity with the new claims.

5. The following general statements should be clarified:
 - (a) "the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference", page 7, line 12;
 - (b) "the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference", page 9, line 21.To this end, either a short acknowledgement of the relevant subject-matter of the corresponding documents, to which said statements refer should be added to the description, or, if said documents contain no further relevant information essential for the performance of the invention, such statements should be deleted (cf. also PCT Guidelines Chap-II-4.17 and 6.3).

6. The Applicant is reminded of Rule 11.3(l) PCT that reference signs not mentioned in the drawings should not appear in the description:
"A input... control input C" (page 10, line 30) and "A input" (page 11, lines 13-14) are mentioned whereas no reference is made to these references in figure 4.

7. The opportunity should also be taken to correct a clerical error in the application:
claim 8 "nonlinear interacton" should read "nonlinear interaction".

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

1. The various definitions of the invention given in independent claims 1, 2, 20 (method of operating an optical regenerator), 23, 24 (regenerator), 31, 32, 49 (node), 33, 50, 51, 56 (network) are such that the claims as whole are not clear and **concise**, contrary to Article 6 PCT. The claims should be recast to include only the minimum necessary number of independent claims in any one category, with dependent claims as appropriate, Rule 6.4 PCT.

In the present case it is considered appropriate to use only the minimum

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/GB99/01159

number of independent claims as following:

- i) one for a method of operating an optical regenerator;
- ii) one for a regenerator;
- iii) one for the use of the regenerator in a node;
- iv) one for the use of the node in a network.

2. The set of claims as a whole lacks clarity due to the **lack of consistency** between independent apparatus claims (23, 24, 51, 56) and independent method claims (1, 2, 20) as regard to the definition of the essential features of the invention, rendering it difficult for a third part to determine the matter for which protection is sought (Article 6 PCT).
3. Dependent claims 29 lacks clarity with regard to its category.
According to the PCT only two basic kinds of claims exist, viz, claims to a physical entity (apparatus) and claims to an activity (process) (cf. PCT Guidelines C-III, 3.1).
As a consequence a claim referring to an activity claim should refer to it by the words "a method according to..." which is not the case for dependent claim 29.
4. Claim 32 does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The statement "A node... arranged to operate by a method according to any one of claims 1-22" is not clear, because, certainly, a subset of claims 1-22 refers to a method for a node, but another subset refers to a method for a regenerator which is not mentioned in the wording of the claim 32.
5. Claim 35 does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claim, which mentions "means to shift the phase... in such fashion as to obtain a correctly regenerated optical data packet.." attempts to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem. The

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/GB99/01159


technical features necessary for achieving this result should be added.

6. The term "data signal" used in claim 3 is vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical feature to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear (Article 6 PCT). It is not clear, indeed, what the interaction between this "data signal" and the "optical packet" is.

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 6 : H04L 7/00	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 99/55038 (43) International Publication Date: 28 October 1999 (28.10.99)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB99/01159		(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
(22) International Filing Date: 15 April 1999 (15.04.99)		
(30) Priority Data: 9808491.6 21 April 1998 (21.04.98) GB 9812162.7 5 June 1998 (05.06.98) GB		
(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): BRITISH TELECOMMUNICATIONS PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY [GB/GB]; 81 Newgate Street, London EC1A 7AJ (GB).		Published With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.
(72) Inventors; and		
(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): COTTER, David [GB/GB]; 23 Moorfield Road, Woodbridge, Suffolk IP12 4JN (GB). ELLIS, Andrew, David [GB/GB]; 18 Bowland Drive, Ipswich, Suffolk IP8 3RW (GB).		
(74) Agent: WELLS, David; BT Group Legal Services, Intellectual Property Dept., Holborn Centre, 8th floor, 120 Holborn, London EC1N 2TE (GB).		

(54) Title: OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK



(57) Abstract

An optical regenerator, for example at a node in an optical communications network, uses a free-running bit-asynchronous local clock source. An incoming packet may be used to gate the clock source. In some implementations, a number of gates are used with different delays on the control input, and the gate giving a correctly regenerated output is selected. In alternative embodiments, a control loop is used to adjust the phase of control signals applied to a gate.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

OPTICAL COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK

BACKGROUND TO THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to an optical communications network, and in particular to the regeneration of optical packets carried on such a network.

It is well known that optical fibre has a huge potential information-carrying capacity. For example, by utilising the entire gain bandwidth of erbium-doped optical amplifiers, a single fibre could carry more than 2 Tbit/s. However in the majority of telecommunications systems in commercial use currently, the information is carried over fibre in the form of an optical signal at a single wavelength. The data transmission bandwidth of the fibre is therefore limited by the electrical bandwidth of the transmitter and receiver, and this means that only a tiny fraction (a maximum of about 1%) of the potential bandwidth-carrying capacity of the fibre is being usefully exploited. There is therefore much interest currently in developing methods for increasing the transmission rate for point-to-point fibre links. One method is wavelength-division multiplexing (WDM), in which several data channels, at different wavelengths, are carried simultaneously on the same fibre. An alternative method for increasing the rate of information that can be carried on fibre is to use optical time-division multiplexing (OTDM) in which several data channels are multiplexed in the form of bit-interleaved return-to-zero (RZ) optical pulse trains.

The WDM approach to photonic networking has some very attractive advantages: in addition to the relative simplicity and commercial availability of the devices needed, WDM networks can be created in a wide variety of architectures with great flexibility (the main restriction being merely that any pair of photonic transmission paths cannot use the same wavelength on a shared fibre link). An advantage of WDM networks is that they can, in principle, support 'signal transparency', i.e. data signals can be carried using any modulation format. However, this implies that, in effect, WDM photonic networks are based on 'analogue' transmission. As a result it is not possible for digital signal regeneration techniques in the optical domain, to be used. The inability to perform signal regeneration in the optical domain leads to practical scaling limitations for WDM networks due to noise accumulation from optical amplifiers, crosstalk and nonlinearity. These factors restrict the number of network switching nodes through which signals can pass without fatal degradation. Currently, in reported laboratory

experiments the maximum number of WDM switching nodes through which a signal can pass without regeneration is limited to around 10, which is a significant restriction in architecture and scalability. A feasible, though costly, solution currently being advocated by some equipment vendors is to sacrifice transparency, 5 standardise the transmission format, and regenerate each wavelength channel individually at the outputs of WDM cross-connects. In effect, this is a hybrid arrangement using analogue switching together with channel-by-channel digital regeneration.

In the OTDM approach to photonic networking, the signals are carried in 'digital' 10 format in the form of RZ optical pulses, allowing the use of digital signal regeneration techniques in the optical domain such as 3R (Re-amplify, Re-time and Re-shape) regeneration [Lucek J K and Smith K, Optics Letters, 18, 1226-28 (1993)] or soliton-control techniques [Ellis A D, Widdowson T, Electronics Letters, 31, 1171-72 (1995)]. These techniques can maintain the integrity of the signals as they pass 15 through a very large number of nodes. For example, Ellis and Widdowson [Ellis A D, Widdowson T, Electronics Letters, 31, 1171-72 (1995)] have made a laboratory demonstration of error-free transmission of signals through an OTDM network consisting of 690 nodes in concatenation. Despite this impressive potential for scalability, however, the OTDM approach to photonic networking suffers from 20 severe restrictions in the network architecture that can be used. This results from the need to maintain proper bit-level synchronism between all the signal sources, demultiplexers and channel add/drop multiplexers throughout the network. In complex architectures, such as one involving the merging of signal streams emanating from several widely-separated sources, fluctuations in the arrival time 25 of signals (due to environmental effects acting on the fibres such as temperature change and mechanical strain) cannot be adequately controlled or compensated in a continuous uninterrupted fashion. This is because of the restricted range of variable optical delay lines, the limited frequency response of control systems due to the physical time of flight of signals over extended distances, and also 30 insufficient degrees of freedom.

According to a first aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of operating a node in an optical communications network including

- a) receiving at the node an optical packet; and

b) generating from the said optical packet received at the said node a regenerated optical packet having a phase determined by a local bit-level clock source and independent of the bit-level phase of the said packet received at the node.

5 Throughout this document, the term 'packet' is used to mean a fixed-length or variable-length string of bits which may be routed through a network in a variety of different ways, including self-routing, store-and-forward packet routing, scheduled switched routing and circuit switching

The present invention provides a new approach to operating an optical
10 communications network which for the first time makes it possible for an optical packet network to be scaled almost without limit. This is achieved by carrying out regeneration of optical packets in a manner which is asynchronous at the bit-level relative to the packet source. This then allows digital signal regeneration to be carried out, facilitating the transmission of packets over large distances, without
15 the extent and architecture of the network being constrained by the need to transmit a global bit-level timing signal.

Preferably the step of generating a regenerated optical packet includes gating, using the received optical packet, an optical clock signal from the local clock source. Preferably this includes passing the optical clock signal through
20 each of a plurality of gate means;

applying the received optical packet as a control signal to each of the plurality of gate means with different delays of a fraction of a bit period relative to the optical clock signal input to the gate means; and

selecting the output of one of the plurality of gate means to provide the
25 regenerated optical packet. Preferably the difference in delays is equal to T/k where T is the bit period and k is the number of optical gate means. Preferably the width W of the gate window is not less than T/k and not more than T , where T is the bit period and k is the number of optical gates.

Alternatively, as further described below, a single gate means may be
30 used in conjunction with means to shift the phase of the incoming packet to match that of the local free-running optical clock.

It is particularly advantageous to use a free-running local optical clock which is gated by the incoming packet. The use of a free-running optical clock, as opposed to one which is phase-locked to an incoming signal, allows the source to

be a high quality device such as a passively mode-locked laser. A further advantage is that the clock may be used to control further optical processing devices at the local node in order, for example, to process header data carried with the packet.

5 It is found to be particularly effective to use a plurality of optical gates which are gated by the optical packet with different respective delays. The delay in question is that of the optical packet *relative* to the signal from the optical clock source. In practice the different relative delays may be achieved by applying different delays to the optical clock signal, or applying different delays to the
10 optical packet. By using a number of gates in this way, and selecting one of their outputs, it is possible to recover an appropriately regenerated optical signal whatever the phase of the incoming optical packet. The optical gate means may comprise a number of distinct physical devices, such as those described in further detail below. Alternatively the plurality of optical gate means might comprise a
15 single device arranged to gate a plurality of distinct optical signals distinguished, e.g. by their polarisation or wavelength, and references in the description and claims to a number of gate means are to be construed accordingly.

Preferably the method includes making a measurement of a parameter of an optical signal output from the gate means, and selecting the output of one of
20 the plurality of gates to provide the regenerated optical packet depending on the results of the said measurement. The parameter may be a measure of the total energy of the output signal, and the selection may be made by comparing the energies of the signals from different optical gate means. Other parameters may also be used to make the selection. For example, the bit error level may be
25 measured, and the output selected which has the minimum bit error level.

According to a second aspect of the present invention, there is provided method of operating a communications network comprising a plurality nodes interconnected by an optical transmission medium, the method including:

transmitting an optical packet and at a network node, receiving the said
30 packet and generating from the said packet a regenerated optical packet having a phase determined by a local bit-level optical clock source and independent of the bit-level phase of the said packet received at the network node.

According to a third aspect of the present invention, there is provided a regenerator for optical packets comprising:

- an input for receiving an optical packet;
- a local bit-level optical clock source comprising a free-running local oscillator;
- means for generating from the optical packet and from a clock signal from
- 5 the local optical clock source a regenerated optical packet independent in phase from the optical packet received at the input.
- BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS**
- Systems embodying the present invention will now be described in further detail, by way of example only, and will be contrasted with the prior art, with
- 10 reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:
- Figure 1: shows the basic concept for 3R regeneration of a digital data stream consisting of a RZ pulse train encoded by on-off modulation
- Figure 2: shows the method of 3R regeneration used for OTDM systems in which the bit stream is continuous
- 15 Figure 3: shows a method of partial regeneration of optical packets
- Figure 4: a simplified outline diagram showing a generator of optical packets and a bit-asynchronous packet regenerator
- Figure 5: a dual-gate bit-asynchronous packet regenerator
- Figure 6: a sequence of timing diagrams that illustrate the operation of the dual-
- 20 gate regenerator
- Figure 7: diagram showing the probability density function of the arrival time of the i th data bit at the gates, relative to the clock signal input to gate G1
- Figure 8: a plot of the expression (12) bit-error probability against the phase angle θ , taking the values $\sigma = 0.018T$ and $W = 0.75T$
- 25 Figure 9: shows a plot of the bit-error probability B against the phase angle θ , calculated according to (12), using an ideal mechanism to select the output gate i , and taking $W/T = 0.75$ and $\sigma/T = 0.018$ and 0.03
- Figure 10 shows a plot of the maximum bit-error probability B according to (12), plotted versus the bit-arrival jitter σ , and taking $W/T = 0.75$.
- 30 Figure 11: is a plot of the expected bit-error probability for a given packet, per regenerator passed, according to (15), plotted against the rms jitter σ , with $W/T = 0.65, 0.75, 0.85$.
- Figure 12: shows a plot of the bit-error probability B against the phase angle θ , calculated according to (18), taking $W/T = 0.75$, $\sigma/T = 0.018$ and $\sigma_c/T = 0.001$

Figure 13 shows a plot of the maximum value of the bit-error probability B for the phase angle θ anywhere in the range $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, calculated according to (18), plotted versus σ_c/T , with $W/T = 0.75$ and $\sigma/T = 0.001, 0.018$ and 0.03 .

Figure 14: Diagram of a bit-asynchronous quad-gate packet regenerator

- 5 Figure 15: plot of bit-error probability according to (19) plotted against the phase angle θ , taking $\sigma = 0.036T$ and $W = 0.75T$

Figure 16: a plot of the bit-error probability B against the phase angle θ , calculated according to (12), using an ideal mechanism to select the output gate / (such as the strategy (20) executed by ideal circuits without systematic or random
10 errors) and taking $\sigma = 0.036T$ and $W = 0.75T$

Figure 17: Plot of the bit-error probability B against the phase angle θ , calculated according to (21), and assuming that $W/T = 0.75$, $\sigma/T = 0.036$ and $\sigma_c/T = 0.001$ and 0.052 ."

Figure 18: Plot of the maximum value of the bit-error probability B for any phase
15 angle θ in the range $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, calculated according to (21), plotted versus W/T , with $\sigma/T = 0.036$, and $\sigma_c/T = 0.001$ and 0.05 ."

Figure 19: show values for the probability that a packet suffers 'slippage' (i.e. a time displacement greater than a specified acceptable limit $L7$), according to (22), plotted versus N , the number of regenerators passed, for various values of $L7$.

20 Figure 20: shows a version of the two-gate regenerator which uses only one optical gating device to gate simultaneously two independent clock signals which are distinguishable by their different states of polarisation.

Figure 21: Outline diagram of a bit-asynchronous packet regenerator using a single gate to modulate the output of the local source.

25 Figure 22: Example embodiment of the bit-asynchronous packet regenerator using a single gate to modulate the output of the local source.

Figure 23: Example layout of a portion of a network comprising switching nodes containing routing switches (RS) and bit-asynchronous regenerators (AR), and links between the switching nodes containing bit-synchronous regenerators (SR).

30 Figure 24: Outline diagram of an alternative arrangement of the bit-asynchronous packet regenerator using a single gate to modulate the output of the local source.

Figure 25: A further example embodiment of the bit-asynchronous packet regenerator using a single gate to modulate the output of the local source

Figure 26 shows a schematic of an optical packet network.

DESCRIPTION OF EXAMPLES

In an ultrafast optical packet network information is transported across a network in the form of fixed-length bursts (i.e. cells or fixed-length packets) of RZ optical pulses that are encoded with payload data and control information (such as the address of the packet destination). The network may constitute, for example, the core network of a national data/telephony network, or a local area network connecting a number of computing systems, or part of the fabric of a communications switch, or may provide the connection between processors in a multi processor computer. Examples of suitable topologies for such a network are described in the present applicant's co-pending European patent application 97307224.2, the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference. The use of logical (header), rather than physical, addressing facilitates massive scalability. Every transmission path in the network carries a continuous sequence of time slots, synchronised to a packet-level global clock, and each time slot accommodates at most one packet and an appropriate time guard band. This time guard band allows the transmission path to be switched for packet-by-packet routing and also allows continuous and endless synchronisation of the packet streams and network nodes to the packet-level global clock. Thus the packet time slots are synchronised throughout the network. However, a crucial aspect of our approach is that synchronism between packets at the (picosecond) bit-level is not required. Therefore the portion of the time slot that accommodates the packet can be conveniently defined to be several bit periods longer than the packet duration. This permits a certain amount of slop in the positioning of the packet within the time slot - in other words, the positioning of the packet within the time slot is not made with bit-level precision, and generally successive packets are not bit-synchronous.

For such an asynchronous network to have the same near-infinite scalability as bit-synchronous OTDM networks, it is necessary to use a bit-asynchronous digital optical packet regenerator, as is further described below.

Figure 1 shows a prior art approach to 3R regeneration of a digital data stream consisting of a RZ pulse train encoded by on-off modulation ('mark' represents a bit value 1, 'space' represents 0). The incoming data bits from a distant source are used to modulate a continuous train of high-quality RZ pulses produced by a

local source, thus regenerating the original data. The presence of a 'mark' in the incoming data stream causes the gate to open for a time of the order of the bit period, allowing a single pulse from the local source to pass through. In this way the regenerated bits have the same pulse shape, spectral quality, amplitude and 5 timing stability as the local source. The pulse repetition rate of this local source is the same as the bit rate of the incoming data. The key problem is designing such a regenerator is to ensure that the incoming data stream and the locally-generated pulses are maintained in synchronism.

Figure 2 shows a prior art method used for OTDM systems in which the bit stream 10 is continuous. A clock recovery circuit (which may be electronic or optical) derives a clock signal in synchronism with the incoming data bits, and this clock is used to synchronise the local pulse source.

The method shown in Figure 2 is less suitable for systems in which the incoming data is not continuous, but is in the form of bursts or packets which are mutually 15 bit-asynchronous. One reason is that in this case the clock recovery must be performed on a packet-by-packet basis, and therefore the lock-up time of the clock-recovery circuit must be a fraction of the packet duration in order not to waste bandwidth. However in ultrafast systems the need for a fast lock-up time tends to conflict with the requirement for a consistent high-quality pulse train 20 throughout the duration of the packet. Another reason for the unsuitability of the approach shown in Figure 2 for ultrafast optical systems is that the best quality pulses are obtained from passively-mode-locked lasers and mode-locked ring lasers which are not readily synchronisable to an external clock.

For some processes in optical packet networks, a self-synchronising approach has 25 been proposed in which each incoming packet contains one or more synchronisation or 'marker' pulses which may be distinguishable by their wavelength, polarisation, amplitude, position, pulse spacing, etc. Figure 3 shows the approach in which a synchronisation pulse is extracted from an incoming packet and then passively replicated to produce a regular burst or pulse pattern. 30 This replicated pulse train can then be used in the processes of demultiplexing, packet header-address recognition. It may also be used in the process of partial regeneration as shown in Figure 3 . In this case the data bits in the incoming packet are used to gate the train of replicated synchronisation pulses. However this is not full 3R regeneration: although amplitude and timing fluctuations are

suppressed in the regenerated packet, the pulse shape and spectral quality are unchanged and therefore the pulse degradation suffered during transmission cannot be corrected.

- In the usual approach to 3R regeneration, illustrated in Figure 2, the local pulse source is forced into frequency and phase synchronism with the incoming data stream. In the embodiments of the present invention described below, a different approach is used, in which the local pulse source is continuously free-running, with the same nominal repetition frequency as the bit rate of the incoming data, but not necessarily in frequency and phase synchronism with the incoming packet.
- Instead the regenerated packet simply takes up the bit rate and phase of the free-running local source. This new approach allows the data packets to be bit-asynchronous, yet avoids the difficulty of recovering a high-quality clock signal on a packet-by-packet basis. Also since the local source of RZ pulses is continuously free-running, it can be a high-quality source such as a passively-mode-locked laser or a mode-locked ring laser. A further benefit is that the regenerated packets are all in precise bit synchronism with the local source of RZ pulses, and therefore this source may be used as the continuous and regular source of pulses that is essential for the various digital optical processing schemes, such as optical memory, registers, parity-counters, examples of which are described and claimed in the present applicant's copending applications number GB9726477.4, the contents of which are incorporated herein by reference. Such circuits find application in high-speed 'on-the-fly' processing of packets for routing, signalling, error detection, etc.

Bit-asynchronous optical packet regeneration

- Figure 4 is a simplified outline diagram showing a generator of optical packets and a bit-asynchronous packet regenerator. The packet generator creates optical data packets each with a fixed bit rate of $M_s f_s$. As shown in Figure 4, this packet generator could consist of a source of optical RZ pulses at a repetition frequency f_s Hz, whose output is modulated and multiplexed in a fashion similar to that used for OTDM (i.e. the output from the pulse source is split into M_s parallel paths which are individually encoded with data by on-off modulation at a rate f_s bit/s and then recombined by bit-interleaving to form a packet of data bits with a composite rate of $M_s f_s$ bit/s). The source of pulses at repetition frequency f_s

could, as shown in Figure 4, consist of an electronic microwave oscillator at f_S which drives an electrically-synchronised laser (such as a gain-switched laser or an actively-mode-locked laser). Alternatively, it could be a continuously free-running optical pulse source, such as a passively-mode-locked laser or a mode-locked ring

5 laser, whose nominal repetition frequency is set (for example, by tuning the laser cavity length). At the distant regenerator there is an independent continuously free-running source of RZ optical pulses at a repetition frequency $M_R f_R$ Hz. This pulse source could, as shown in Figure 4, consist of an independent electronic microwave oscillator at a frequency f_R which drives an electrically-synchronised

10 laser (such as a gain-switched laser or an actively-mode-locked laser), followed by a passive splitter and bit-interleaver to produce the required composite frequency $M_R f_R$ Hz. Alternatively the source could be a continuously free-running optical source of pulses at the rate f_R followed by the $\times M_R$ splitter and interleaver, or indeed the free-running source could operate at the full repetition frequency $M_R f_R$

15 Hz directly without the need for $\times M_R$ multiplication. In any case, the bit rate $M_S f_S$ of the packet produced by the packet generator and the repetition frequency $M_R f_R$ of the pulse source in the regenerator must lie close to the same nominal value $1/T$,

$$\text{i.e. } M_S f_S \approx M_R f_R \approx 1/T \quad (1)$$

20 where T is the nominal bit period in the optical packet. (For example, $T = 10$ ps, $1/T = 100$ Gbit/s, $M_S = 10$, $1/M_S T = 10$ Gbit/s.)

This novel approach in which the packet source and packet regenerator contain independent free-running pulse sources works because the optical data packets can be assumed to be of short duration, and therefore any phase slippage between

25 the two pulse sources that occurs over the duration of a single packet is negligibly small. This can be illustrated by considering the question: how close must be the bit rate of the packet and the repetition frequency of the pulse source at the regenerator?

At the inputs to the gate in the regenerator, the phase of the local clock pulses

30 (input A in Figure 4) relative to the incoming packet data bits (control input C) as a function of time t is

$$\theta(t) = 2\pi(M_R f_R - M_S f_S)t + \theta_0, \quad (2)$$

where θ_0 is a constant offset. The change in $\theta(t)$ over the duration of a packet of length n bits is

$$\Delta\theta = \theta(t + (n-1)T) - \theta(t) = 2\pi(M_R f_R - M_S f_S)(n-1)T . \quad (3)$$

This corresponds to a time slippage ΔT in the local clock relative to the packet 5 data bits,

$$\Delta T = \Delta\theta \cdot T / 2\pi = (M_R f_R - M_S f_S)(n-1)T^2 , \quad (4)$$

and this time slippage can be considered negligible if $|\Delta T| \ll T$. For example, with electrically-driven sources (as shown in Figure 4), $f = 10$ GHz, $M_S = M_R = 10$, $T = 10$ ps, $1/T = 100$ Gbit/s, $n = 1000$, and $f_R - f_S < 10$ kHz (< 1 in 10^6) results in 10 $\Delta T < 10^{-3} T = 10$ fs. This example shows that with realistic parameters, the time slippage over the duration of a packet can be negligibly small.

Throughout the remainder of this description, it will be assumed that the bit rate of the incoming data packet and the repetition frequency of the pulse train at the A input to the gate can be considered to be exactly equal, and that the phase 15 difference θ between them can be considered to be constant over the duration of the packet. Nevertheless, for any given packet, this phase difference is an arbitrary value in the range $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$. Moreover, in a packet network, successive packets arriving at a regenerator may originate from different sources and accordingly their phases θ may be entirely uncorrelated. Therefore the bit- 20 asynchronous packet regenerator must be designed to operate correctly on each packet independently, regardless of the phase difference θ . This is achieved in preferred embodiments of this invention by providing not just one gate (as shown in Figure 4) but two or more gates, each of which can correctly regenerate a packet for only a restricted range of θ , but which together span the complete 25 range $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, and by providing means to select the output from one of the gates in each time slot so as to choose a correctly regenerated packet.

This is best explained and illustrated by examples. The next sub-section describes the structure and operation of a bit-asynchronous packet regenerator containing two gates, and the succeeding sub-sections describes regenerators with four 30 gates.

Dual-gate bit-asynchronous optical packet regenerator

Principle of operation

- Figure 5 shows a dual-gate bit-asynchronous packet regenerator. The data bits in the incoming packet are used to control the opening of two gates, G1 and G2. A data bit with value 1 ('mark') causes each of the two gates to open for a fixed time duration (the gate window), otherwise the gates remain closed.
- It is preferable, though not essential, that the widths of the time window for gates G1 and G2 are equal. The output from the local clock (a continuous free-running source of optical RZ pulses at a repetition frequency nominally equal to the packet bit rate $1/T$) is applied to the inputs of the two gates, one of these inputs being delayed relative to the other by an amount $T/2$. Since the phase θ of the local clock pulses relative to the packet data bits has an arbitrary and unknown value in the range $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, it is necessary that the gate window widths are chosen so that, whatever the value of θ , the clock pulses will be correctly modulated by at least one of the two gates. In the case that the window widths for gates G1 and G2 are equal, the window width W must therefore lie in the range $T/2 < W < T$.
- The lower limit ensures that at least one clock pulse will be modulated at any value of θ , whilst the upper limit comes from the requirement that no more than one clock pulse may pass through the gate whilst the window is open. These upper and lower limits on W apply strictly in the case that the incoming data pulses and local clock pulses are sufficiently narrow that, on the time scale of a bit period, they may be represented by delta impulses. When finite pulse widths are taken into account the acceptable range of window widths is somewhat narrower than $T/2 < W < T$. At present, it will be assumed that the data pulses and local clock pulses are impulses, and for the remainder of this sub-section it is taken that $W = 3T/4$ for both gates.
- We should note at this point, with reference to Figure 5, that there is an alternative and equally valid configuration in which the $T/2$ delay line is removed from the input port A of one of the gates, and placed instead at the control port C of one of the gates. The operation of the regenerator is very similar in this case, and the predicted performance described later is the same. Throughout the remainder of this description, the configuration will be assumed to be that in which the packet data bits are connected directly to the control ports of the gates, and the input ports have certain differential delays (as illustrated in Figure 5 for a dual-gate regenerator).

The optical gates may be implemented in different ways. For ultrafast operation, the gate could be a nonlinear optical device such as a fibre loop mirror (as described, for example, by Whitaker et al in Optics Letters, vol. 16, page 1840 (1991)), in which case the gate width is defined by selecting suitable fibre lengths, dispersion and birefringence. Alternatively a suitable ultrafast gating device based on the nonlinearity in semiconductor optical amplifiers could be used (as described, for example, by Kang et al in the International Journal of High Speed Electronics and Systems, vol. 7, page 125 (1996)). In this case the gate width may be determined by the positioning of the amplifier in a Sagnac interferometer loop arrangement, or the relative offset of two amplifiers in a Mach-Zehnder interferometer device. Another suitable ultrafast semiconductor-based device is the ultrafast nonlinear interferometer switch described by Hall and Rauschenbach (paper PD5, Proceedings of Conference on Optical Fiber Communication OFC'98, published by the Optical Society of America, February 1998), which has been shown to operate at a speed of 100 Gbit/s. For operation at lower speeds an optoelectronic device such as an electroabsorption modulator could be used as the gate. In that case, the incoming packet data bits must first be received by a photodetector whose output is converted to a suitable short electrical pulse to drive the modulator, and the gate width is defined by the width and amplitude of this electrical pulse. In this case, for correct operation it is necessary that the photodetector and associated electronics can fully resolve the data bits, which limits the packet data rate.

If a single optical gating device is used for the purpose of gating k distinct optical clock signals ($k > 1$) then, throughout the description and analysis in this work, this will be regarded as k optical gates. The clock signals may be distinguishable, for example, by virtue of their states of polarisation, wavelength or intensity. For example, Figure 20 shows a version of the two-gate regenerator which uses only one optical gating device to gate simultaneously two independent clock signals which are distinguishable by their different states of polarisation.

Figure 6 shows a sequence of timing diagrams that illustrate the operation of the dual-gate regenerator. The packet data bits (an example sequence 11101 is shown) arrive at the control ports of the gates G1 and G2, and each 'mark' causes the gates to open for a time $3T/4$. The diagrams (i–iv) illustrate various values of θ , the phase of the local clock relative to the incoming packet data bits. It is

convenient to write the gate width W and phase angle θ as normalised quantities, thus: $\bar{W} = W/T$ and $\bar{\theta} = \theta/2\pi$. Diagram (i) illustrates the case $0 \leq \bar{\theta} \leq \bar{W} - 1/2$, in which the outputs from both gates G1 and G2 are clock pulses that have been correctly modulated by the incoming data bits. Diagram (ii) illustrates the case $5 \bar{W} - 1/2 < \bar{\theta} < 1/2$, in which the output from gate G1 (but not G2) are clock pulses that have been correctly modulated by the incoming data bits. Diagram (iii) illustrates the case $1/2 \leq \bar{\theta} \leq \bar{W}$, in which again the outputs from both gates G1 and G2 are correctly modulated. Diagram (iv) illustrates the last possibility, $\bar{W} \leq \bar{\theta} < 1$, in which the output from gates G2 (but not G1) is correctly modulated.

10 Returning to Figure 5, the components shown to the right-hand side of the two gates are used to attempt to select in each time slot whichever gate output gives a regenerated packet with the minimum of bit errors. One technique, shown in Figure 5, is to make the selection in each time slot on the basis of a comparison of the total optical energy emerging from each gate, integrated over the duration of

15 the packet. If the phase angle θ is such that the output from a gate consists of correctly modulated clock pulses then the total optical energy measured at the output of the gate, integrated over the duration of the packet, will be maximum (in effect, it is a measure of the number of 'marks' appearing in the regenerated data packet). However if θ is such that the clock pulses arrive at the gate at a time

20 outside the gate window, then the energy transmitted by the gate will be zero or small. The circuit shown in Figure 5 therefore makes these energy measurements and the result of the comparison is used to set the optical switch S, (for example, an optoelectronic device such as a lithium niobate switch) which performs the physical selection. The detectors, D1 and D2, are followed by electronic

25 integrators I1 and I2, each of which provide a voltage proportional to the energy of the regenerated packet emerging from gates G1 and G2, respectively, in each time slot. The comparator C produces a digital output according to whether or not the signal from D1 exceeds that from D2. The global packet-level clock signal, synchronised to the time guard band between packets, is used to reset the

30 integrators and also to clock the D-type flip-flop DT. This ensures that the switch S changes over only during the guard band, so as to avoid corrupting a packet. Notice that the detectors, switch and associated electronics operate at the packet

rate (not the data bit rate) with a response time on the order of the width of the time guard band (which may be on the time scale of ~1 ns).

An alternative method of selecting the most appropriate gate output in each time slot may be to perform a bit-error measurement on the whole or part of the packet

- 5 that emerges from each gate. For example, a test pattern could be incorporated as part of each packet, and this pattern would be received and any bit errors detected and counted in each time slot at the output of each gate. In a given time slot the output having zero or the least number of bit errors would be selected.

The optical delays (labelled L and $L + \Delta T/2$ in Figure 5) between the outputs of
10 the gates and the selection switch S are used to allow sufficient time for the circuitry and switch S to operate before the packets arrive at the switch. Typically the delay L will be slightly less than one time slot in duration. Optionally, as shown in Figure 5, the optical delay between the output of gate G1 and the switch S may be made slightly longer (by an amount $T/2$) than the delay between the
15 output of gate G2 and the switch. The purpose of doing this is to compensate for the delay of $T/2$ at the input of gate G2, thus equalising the delay of both optical paths from the clock source to the output of the selector switch S. One benefit of doing this is that all the regenerated packets are then in precise bit synchronism with each other and with the local clock, and as mentioned earlier, the local clock
20 may therefore be used as a continuous and regular source of pulses for use in subsequent digital optical processing stages. A further important benefit of equalising the delay in this way is to reduce the problem of 'packet slippage' in a large network, as described in section 3.4.3.

There are two main causes of bit errors that may occur in the process of
25 regenerating a packet using the bit-asynchronous regenerator. The first cause is jitter in the arrival time of the incoming packet data bits. It is well known that in high-speed optical transmission systems, jitter in the arrival time of pulses arises from effects such as amplified spontaneous emission noise, the soliton self-frequency shift arising from the Raman effect, soliton short-range interactions, and
30 the complex interplay of these various processes. If the time of arrival of a data 'mark' at the regenerator fluctuates relative to the local clock pulse train, the time position of the gate window opened by that data bit is shifted accordingly. This increases the probability that a clock pulse may fall outside the gate window and so may fail to be transmitted correctly as a 'mark' in the regenerated packet. The

second main cause of bit errors is errors in the process used to select the output from one of the gates in each time slot. For example, when the selection is made on the basis of a comparison of the output energy from the gates, noise in the energy measurement and comparator circuits can result in incorrect selection. In 5 the next sub-section the bit-error probability arising from timing jitter is analysed in detail under the assumption that the gate selector is perfectly noise-free and has infinitesimal resolution. In the succeeding sub-section the effect of errors in the operation of a realistic gate selector is considered.

Bit-error probability when using a perfect gate selector

10 As explained above, the bit rate of the incoming data packet and the repetition frequency of the pulse train at the A input to the gate are assumed to be exactly equal, and the phase difference θ between them is taken to be constant over the duration of the packet. However, as explained above, the arrival time of an individual packet data bits is subject to fluctuations. Figure 7 shows the probability 15 density function of the arrival time of the i th data bit at the gates, relative to the clock signal input to gate G1. We have taken the arrival time to be a normally distributed random variable with standard deviation σ (usually referred to as the rms jitter). The mean value of the distribution occurs $\theta T / 2\pi$ before a clock pulse. Purely for illustration, in Figure 7 the width of the probability density distribution 20 has been exaggerated so that the various regions can be clearly seen (as we shall see later, the regenerator would normally be used in a regime in which the bit-arrival jitter σ is a much smaller fraction of the bit period T). If the actual arrival time of a the i th data bit is within the unshaded region defined by $t_i - W < t < t_i$ (where t_i is the time of the i th clock pulse) and if the bit is a 'mark', the gate 25 window will open and allow the i th clock pulse to be transmitted correctly thus regenerating the data 'mark'. If the arrival time of the i th data bit falls outside this unshaded region, then the i th clock pulse is not correctly modulated. The probability that the actual arrival time falls within the unshaded region is given by

$$p(\psi) = \Phi\left(\frac{\psi}{\sigma/T}\right) - \Phi\left(\frac{\psi - W/T}{\sigma/T}\right) \quad (5)$$

30 with $\psi = \psi_1$, where

$$\psi_1 = \theta / 2\pi \quad (6)$$

$$\Phi(z) = \int_{-\infty}^z \phi(x) dx \quad (7)$$

and $\phi(z) = \exp(-z^2/2)/\sqrt{2\pi}$. (8)

If the actual arrival time of a the i th data bit is within the heavily shaded region defined by $t < t_{i-1} = t_i - T$ and if the bit is a 'mark', the gate window will open so

- 5 as to allow the $(i-1)$ th clock pulse to be transmitted, with the possibility of producing an error. The probability that the actual arrival time falls within the heavily shaded region is given by

$$q(\psi) = \Phi\left(\frac{\psi - 1}{\sigma/T}\right) \quad (9)$$

with $\psi = \psi_1$.

- 10 Similarly, if the actual arrival time of a the i th data bit is within the other heavily shaded region defined by $t > t_{i+1} - W = t_i + T - W$ and if the bit is a 'mark', the gate window will open so as to allow the $(i+1)$ th clock pulse to be transmitted, again with the possibility of producing an error. The probability that the actual arrival time falls within this region is given by

$$15 \quad r(\psi) = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\psi + 1 - W/T}{\sigma/T}\right) \quad (10)$$

with $\psi = \psi_1$.

At the gate G2, the clock pulses are arranged to arrive later in time by an amount $T/2$. In this case the expressions for the probabilities p , q and r are given by (5), (9) and (10), but with $\psi = \psi_2$ where

- 20 $\psi_2 = (\theta/2\pi + 1/2) \bmod 1 \quad (11)$

For both gates, the bit error probability can be calculated by considering the various combinations of values of the j th data bit with its nearest neighbours, as presented in the table below (the small differences when $j = 1$ or n , where there are n bits in the packet, are neglected). This table assumes that $0 < \psi_i < W/T$.

$(j-1)$ th data bit	j th data bit	$(j+1)$ th data bit	Bit-error probability in the j th bit position at the output from gate i
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	$q(\psi_i)/8$
0	1	0	$\{1 - p(\psi_i)\}/8$

0	1	1	$\{1 - p(\psi_i)\}\{1 - q(\psi_i)\}/8$
1	0	0	$r(\psi_i)/8$
1	0	1	$\{q(\psi_i) + r(\psi_i) - q(\psi_i)r(\psi_i)\}/8$
1	1	0	$\{1 - p(\psi_i)\}\{1 - r(\psi_i)\}/8$
1	1	1	$\{1 - p(\psi_i)\}\{1 - q(\psi_i)\}\{1 - r(\psi_i)\}/8$

Summing the right-hand column of the table, we obtain an expression for the total bit-error probability for the output from gate i , conditional on the phase angle θ :

$$B(\psi) = \{1 - p(\psi)[\{1 - q(\psi)/2][1 - r(\psi)/2]\}/2 \\ \approx \{1 - p(\psi)\}/2 \quad (12)$$

where $\psi = \psi_1$ or ψ_2 as appropriate.

- 5 Figure 8 is a plot of the expression (12) against the phase angle θ , taking as an example the values $\sigma = 0.018T$ and $W = 0.75T$ for the jitter and gate window width, respectively. It can be seen that, with these parameter values, for any value of θ in the full range $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ the bit-error probability for the output from at least one of the gates is less than 10^{-12} , and therefore this bit-error probability
10 10 can be achieved continuously by selecting the output from one of the gates suitably in each time slot. In this description we take 10^{-12} as the target bit-error probability (or the equivalent probability of 10^{-9} that a packet of 1000 bits will contain an error).

There will now be described an analysis of the method of gate selection based on
15 a comparison of the integrated energies of the packets emerging from each gate, as described in the preceding. As noted earlier, the total optical energy measured at the output of a gate, integrated over the duration of the packet, is in effect a measure of the number of 'marks' appearing in the regenerated data packet. Similar to the calculation of the bit error probability given above, the expected
20 value of the optical energy measured at the output of the i th gate can be calculated by considering the various combinations of values of the j th data bit with its nearest neighbours, as presented in the table below.

($i-1$)th data bit	i th data bit	($i+1$)th data bit	Probability of a 'mark' in the position of the j th clock pulse at the output from gate i
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	$q(\psi_i)/8$

0	1	0	$p(\psi_i)/8$
0	1	1	$\{p(\psi_i) + q(\psi_i) - p(\psi_i)q(\psi_i)\}/8$
1	0	0	$r(\psi_i)/8$
1	0	1	$\{q(\psi_i) + r(\psi_i) - q(\psi_i)r(\psi_i)\}/8$
1	1	0	$\{p(\psi_i) + r(\psi_i) - p(\psi_i)r(\psi_i)\}/8$
1	1	1	$\{p(\psi_i) + q(\psi_i) + r(\psi_i) - p(\psi_i)q(\psi_i) - p(\psi_i)r(\psi_i)$ $- q(\psi_i)r(\psi_i) + p(\psi_i)q(\psi_i)r(\psi_i)\}/8$

The expected value of the optical energy measured at the output of the i th gate, $\langle E_i \rangle$, integrated over the duration of the packet, is found by summing the probabilities in the table above:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E_i \rangle &= \frac{p(\psi_i) + q(\psi_i) + r(\psi_i)}{2} - \frac{p(\psi_i)q(\psi_i) + p(\psi_i)r(\psi_i) + q(\psi_i)r(\psi_i)}{4} + \frac{p(\psi_i)q(\psi_i)r(\psi_i)}{8} \\ 5 &\approx \frac{p(\psi_i) + q(\psi_i) + r(\psi_i)}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Here $\langle E_i \rangle$ is normalised to the value nw , where n is the number of bits in the original packet and w is the energy of a pulse representing a 'mark' (a single pulse representing a bit of value 1) in the output. Then an appropriate strategy for selecting the gate output in each packet time slot is:

- 10 if $\langle E_i \rangle = \max\{\langle E_1 \rangle, \langle E_2 \rangle\}$ then select gate i . (14)

It is assumed, for the remainder of this sub-section, that whatever mechanism is used for selecting the appropriate gate output in each time slot, its operation is ideal; e.g. the energy measurement and comparator circuits used to make a selection on the basis of (14) have no systematic errors, are perfectly noise-free
15 and have infinitesimal resolution. Figure 9 shows a plot of the conditional bit-error probability $B(\theta)$ against the phase angle θ'' calculated according to (12), using an ideal mechanism to select the output gate i , and assuming for example that $W/T = 0.75$. It can be seen that in the case where the jitter is $\sigma = 0.018T$, the maximum value of B is 10^{-12} (as expected from the curves plotted in Figure 8). In
20 the other case shown, where σ/T takes the larger value 0.03, the conditional bit-error probability $B(\theta)$ reaches a maximum value of 8×10^{-6} for certain values of the phase angle θ , but there are other ranges of θ in which $B < 10^{-12}$. Figure 10 shows a plot of the maximum bit-error probability B that a packet may suffer, for

any phase angle θ within the range $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$. The results are plotted versus the bit-arrival jitter σ , again assuming for example $W/T = 0.75$.

When a packet travels across a network it may pass through a sequence of regenerators. The phase angle θ differs from one regenerator to the next in a random fashion, because in this invention the local oscillators at the regenerators are free-running and their phases are uncorrelated. Therefore, at each regenerator that the packet encounters, θ is a continuous uniform random variable on the interval $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, i.e. $\theta/2\pi \sim U(0,1)$. We assume here that the rms jitter σ in the arrival time of the data bits is the same at each regenerator. It follows that the bit-error probability after passing through N regenerators is given by $N\langle e \rangle = N\langle\langle e|\theta \rangle\rangle$, where e denotes a random variable that takes the value 1 when a bit error occurs and the value 0 otherwise, and $\langle e|\theta \rangle$ denotes $B(\theta)$ the bit-error probability, conditional on the phase angle θ , for a single regenerator. By inspection, it can be seen that $\langle e|\theta \rangle$ is a periodic, symmetrical function of θ that exercises two full periods on the interval $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$. Moreover $\langle e|\theta \rangle$ is continuous and differentiable on the interval $W/2T < \theta/2\pi < (2W/T + 1)/4$, which represents one half-period. Therefore the expected value $\langle\langle e|\theta \rangle\rangle$ with $\theta/2\pi \sim U[0,1]$ is identical to the expected value $\langle\langle e|x \rangle\rangle$ with $x \sim U[W/2T, (2W/T + 1)/4]$, where $\langle e|x \rangle$ is given by (12). The expected value $\langle x \rangle = (4W/T + 1)/8$ and $\text{var } x = 1/(12 \cdot 4^2) = 1/192$. Now let $Y = \ln\langle e|\langle x \rangle + \Delta \rangle$ and perform a Taylor expansion:

$$Y = \ln\langle e|\langle x \rangle \rangle + \Delta \frac{d}{dx} \ln\langle e|\langle x \rangle \rangle + \frac{\Delta^2}{2!} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln\langle e|\langle x \rangle \rangle + \frac{\Delta^3}{3!} \frac{d^3}{dx^3} \ln\langle e|\langle x \rangle \rangle + \dots$$

Taking expectations,

$$\langle Y \rangle = \ln\langle e \rangle \approx \ln\langle e|\langle x \rangle \rangle + \frac{1}{384} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln\langle e|\langle x \rangle \rangle \quad (15)$$

since $\langle \Delta \rangle = 0$, $\langle \Delta^2 \rangle = \text{var } x$ and $\langle \Delta^3 \rangle = 0$. To evaluate (15) we use the following relations:

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln\langle e|x \rangle = \frac{1}{\langle e|x \rangle} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \langle e|x \rangle - \frac{1}{\langle e|x \rangle^2} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \langle e|x \rangle \right)^2,$$

$$\langle e|x \rangle \approx \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \Phi\left(\frac{x}{\sigma/T}\right) + \Phi\left(\frac{x - W/T}{\sigma/T}\right) \right],$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} \langle e|x \rangle \approx \frac{1}{2\sigma/T} \left[\phi\left(\frac{x-W/T}{\sigma/T}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{x}{\sigma/T}\right) \right]$$

and

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \langle e|x \rangle \approx \frac{1}{2(\sigma/T)^3} \left[x \phi'\left(\frac{x}{\sigma/T}\right) - (x-W/T) \phi'\left(\frac{x-W/T}{\sigma/T}\right) \right].$$

Figure 11 is a plot of the expected bit-error probability for a given packet, per 5 regenerator passed, according to (15). The curves are plotted against the rms jitter σ , for various values of the gate window width W . For example, with $W = 0.75T$ and $\sigma = 0.032T$, the expected bit-error probability per regenerator passed is 10^{-15} , or an expected bit-error probability of 10^{-12} after passing through 1000 regenerators. These results indicate that, for a given jitter σ , the bit-error 10 probability for a packet after passing many regenerators can be expected to be substantially less than the maximum value for a single regenerator, as shown in Figure 10. However, this result is unduly optimistic. It ignores the fact that, although the phase θ of a data packet relative to the local oscillator at a given regenerator is a continuous uniform random variable, nevertheless the phases of 15 successive packets arriving at the regenerator *from the same source* may be highly correlated. This is because the physical fluctuations that cause θ to vary (such as temperature and mechanical changes acting on an optical fibre cable) may occur on a much slower time scale than the arrival time of packets. Therefore the regenerated packet stream may contain bursts (and possibly prolonged bursts) of 20 errors, even though the expected bit-error probability according to (15) is very low. Therefore it is appropriate to take the more conservative estimates of jitter tolerance indicated by equation (12) and plotted in Figure 9
In fact, an even more serious limitation is encountered if we drop the assumption 25 that the gate selection is ideal (i.e. no systematic errors, noise-free, and having infinitesimal resolution), and this situation is analysed next.

Bit-error probability when using imperfect gate selection

If the mechanism used to perform the gate selection in each time slot is imperfect, then at certain times the incorrect gate will be selected, leading to an increase in the bit-error probability. In this sub-section the gate selection technique will be 30 analysed based on comparison of packet energies, as described above, in the case that the energy measurement and comparator circuits are subject to noise and other imperfections.

In Figure 5, the detectors D1 and D2 followed by electronic integrators each provide a voltage, V_1 and V_2 respectively, in each time slot which is proportional to the energy of the regenerated packet emerging from gate G1 and G2, respectively, in that time slot. The comparator C produces a binary output signal according to
 5 whether or not V_1 exceeds V_2 , and this signal is used to control the operation of the selector switch S. Ideally, if the energy of the regenerated packet emerging from gate G1 is greater or equal to the energy of the regenerated packet emerging from gate G2, then the comparator output is 0 causing the switch S to select the output from gate G1; and ideally if the energy of the regenerated packet emerging
 10 from gate G2 is greater or equal to the energy of the regenerated packet emerging from gate G1, then the comparator output is 1 causing the switch S to select the other output. However because of systematic and random errors, the energy measurement and comparator output are non-ideal. Here we assume that systematic errors are negligible, and model the random errors by assuming that,
 15 for any given phase angle θ , the voltage $V_1 - V_2$ is a normally-distributed random variable with variance σ_C^2 . Here the normalisation is such that a voltage V_1 or V_2 equal to 1 represents the energy of a regenerated packet consisting of n 'mark' pulses, where n is the number of bits in the original packet, and the expected number of 'marks' in the original packet is 0.5. Therefore the probability
 20 $P(G1)$ that gate G1 is selected (i.e. that the comparator output signal is 0) is given by

$$P(G1) = \Phi\left(\frac{V_1 - V_2}{\sigma_C}\right), \quad (16)$$

and the probability that gate G2 is selected (i.e. that the comparator output signal is 1) is given by

$$25 \quad P(G2) = 1 - P(G1) = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{V_1 - V_2}{\sigma_C}\right). \quad (17)$$

For a given phase angle θ , the bit-error probability is

$$B = P(G1)B(\psi_1) + P(G2)B(\psi_2), \quad (18)$$

where ψ_1 , ψ_2 and $B(\psi)$ are given by (6), (11) and (12), respectively.

Figure 12 shows a plot of the bit-error probability B against the phase angle θ ,
 30 calculated according to (18), and assuming for example that $W/T = 0.75$, $\sigma/T = 0.018$ and $\sigma_C/T = 0.001$. By comparing with Figure 9 it can be seen that

the bit-error probability is very significantly increased because of the non-ideal gate selection.

Figure 13 shows the severe degradation in bit-error probability that occurs when the gate selection is non-ideal, even if the standard deviation σ_c/T is very small.

- 5 The figure shows a plot of the maximum value of the bit-error probability B for any phase angle θ in the range $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, calculated according to (18), and plotted versus σ_c/T . Again the calculations assume for example that $W/T = 0.75$ and curves for various values of σ/T are shown. Notice, for example, that with $\sigma/T = 0.018$, a value of σ_c/T as small as 10^{-7} is sufficient to degrade the bit-error
10 probability by 4 orders of magnitude (maximum bit-error probability of 10^{-8} , as compared to the value 10^{-12} shown in Figure 10 in the case of ideal gate selection with $W/T = 0.75$ and $\sigma/T = 0.018$).

This limitation in the usefulness of the two-gate design for the bit-asynchronous regenerator is overcome by the quad-gate design described below. Alternatively a
15 triple gate regenerator might be used, although this delivers a lesser improvement in performance.

Quad-gate bit-asynchronous optical packet regenerator

Principle of operation

Figure 14 shows a quad-gate bit-asynchronous packet regenerator. The data bits
20 in the incoming packet are used to control the opening of four gates, G1, G2, G3 and G4. A data bit with value 1 ('mark') causes each of the four gates to open for a fixed time duration (the gate window), otherwise the gates remain closed. It is preferable, though not essential, that the widths of the time window for the four gates are equal. The output from the local clock (a continuous free-running source
25 of optical RZ pulses at a repetition frequency nominally equal to the packet bit rate $1/T$) is applied to the inputs of the four gates, with relative delays in steps of $T/4$ as shown in Figure 14. As with the dual-gate regenerator, to ensure that the clock pulses will be correctly modulated by at least one of the four gates whatever the value of θ , the window width W must lie within a particular range of values,
30 which for the quad-gate regenerator is $T/4 < W < T$. As previously, the incoming data pulses and local clock pulses are represented here by delta impulses. (As described below, when finite pulse widths are taken into account the acceptable range of window widths is somewhat narrower than $T/4 < W < T$).

As in the case of the dual-gate regenerator, we should note, with reference to Figure 14, that there is an alternative and equally valid configuration in which the relative delays in steps of $T/4$ are removed from the input ports *A* of the gates, and placed instead at the control ports *C*. The operation of the regenerator is very 5 similar in this case, and the predicted performance described later is the same. Again, in the analysis below the configuration will be assumed to be that in which the packet data bits are connected directly to the control ports of the gates, and the differential delays are in the connections to the input ports.

As in Figure 5, the technique for gate selection shown in Figure 14 is based on a 10 comparison of the total optical energy emerging from each gate, integrated over the duration of the packet. In this case the optical switch *S* must select one of the outputs from the four gates. As shown in Figure 14 the 1x4 switch could consist an arrangement of three 1x2 switches, *S*₁, *S*₂ and *S*₃. The controller *C* measures the outputs from detectors *D*₁, *D*₂, *D*₃ and *D*₄ in each time slot, and sets the 15 selector switches accordingly using the strategy described by (20) below. Other practical details are similar to those described above. In particular, the optical delays (labelled L , $L + \Delta T/4$, $L + \Delta T/2$ and $L + 3\Delta T/4$ in Figure 14) between the outputs of the gates and the selection switch *S* are used to allow sufficient time for the circuitry and switch *S* to operate before the packets arrive at the switch. 20 Typically the delay L will be slightly less than one time slot in duration. Optionally, the optical delays between the outputs of the various gates and the switch *S* may be made to differ in steps of $T/4$, as shown in Figure 14. The purpose of doing this is to compensate for the delay steps at the inputs to the gates, thus equalising the delay of all optical paths from the clock source to the output of the selector 25 switch *S*. As noted previously, this has the benefit that all the regenerated packets are then in precise bit synchronism with each other and with the local clock, so that the local clock may therefore be used as a continuous and regular source of pulses for use in subsequent digital optical processing stages. A further very important benefit of equalising the delay in this way is to reduce the problem 30 of 'packet slippage' in a large network, as described below.

Also as noted above, an alternative method of selecting the most appropriate gate output in each time slot may be to perform a bit-error measurement on the whole or part of the packet that emerges from each gate.

In the next sub-section the bit-error probability arising from jitter in the time of arrival of the incoming data bits is analysed.

Bit-error probability

The bit-error probability for the output from gate i is given by (12) with $\psi = \psi_i$,

5 where

$$\psi_i = \left(\theta / 2\pi + \frac{i-1}{4} \right) \bmod 1, \text{ with } i = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (19)$$

Figure 15 is a plot of the bit-error probability according to (12) and (19), against the phase angle θ , taking as an example the values $\sigma = 0.036T$ and $W = 0.75T$ for the jitter and gate window width, respectively. It can be seen that, with these
10 parameter values, for any value of θ in the full range $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ the bit-error probability for the output from at least one of the gates is less than 10^{-12} .

The expected value of the optical energy measured at the output of the i th gate, $\langle E_i \rangle$, is given by (13). An appropriate strategy for selecting the gate output in each packet time slot, based on a comparison of the energies of the packets
15 emerging from each gate, is:

$$\text{if } \langle E_j \rangle = \min \{ \langle E_i \rangle \} \text{ then select gate } (1 + (j+1)\bmod 4) \quad (20)$$

where $\{ \langle E_i \rangle \}$ denotes the set $\{ \langle E_1 \rangle, \langle E_2 \rangle, \langle E_3 \rangle, \langle E_4 \rangle \}$.

Figure 16 shows a plot of the bit-error probability B against the phase angle θ , calculated according to (12), using an ideal mechanism to select the output gate /
20 (such as the strategy (20) executed by ideal circuits without noise or impairments) and assuming for example that $W/T = 0.75$. It can be seen that a maximum bit-error probability of 10^{-12} is predicted when $\sigma/T = 0.036$. By comparing this result with Figure 10, it can be seen that, compared to the dual-gate regenerator,
the quad-gate regenerator can tolerate a higher level of rms jitter sigma in the
25 arrival time of the incoming data bits. The reason for this is that with the quad-gate regenerator, the clock pulses that are modulated by the selected gate are positioned further in time from the edges of the gate window, thus reducing the probability that jitter in the arriving data may cause a clock pulse to fall outside the window resulting in a bit error in the regenerated packet.
30 The effect of non-ideal selection of the gate output is now analysed. In Figure 14, the detectors D1 to D4 followed by electronic integrators each provide a voltage, V_1 to V_4 respectively, in each time slot which is proportional to the energy of the

regenerated packet emerging from gate G1 to G4, respectively, in that time slot. The comparator circuit C produces an output signal which is used to control the operation of the selector switch S. The ideal output of the comparator is as defined by (20). However because of systematic and random errors, the energy measurement and comparator output are non-ideal. We assume here that the systematic errors are negligible, and model the random errors due to noise, etc, as follows.

We assume that, for a given phase angle θ , the voltage difference $V_i - V_j$ (for all i and $j \neq i$) is a normally-distributed random variable with mean V_{ij} and variance σ_C^2 . As before, the voltages are normalised so that $V_i = 1$ represents the energy of a regenerated packet emerging from gate Gi consisting of n 'mark' pulses, where n is the number of bits in the original packet, and the packet is assumed to have a mark:space ratio of 1. Then, with probability

$$P(V_j = \min\{V_i\}) = \prod_{i \neq j} \Phi(V_{ij} / \sigma_C) ,$$

it will be determined on the basis of a measurement of the voltages V_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) that $\langle E_j \rangle = \min\langle E_i \rangle$. Then, if the result of that determination is used in the strategy (20) to select the gate output in each time slot, the resulting bit-error probability is

$$B = \sum_j B(\psi_j) \prod_{i \neq j} \Phi(V_{ij} / \sigma_C) , \quad (21)$$

where $J = 1 + (j+1)\bmod 4$, and $B(\psi)$ is given by (12).

Figure 17 shows a plot of the bit-error probability B against the phase angle θ , calculated according to (21), and assuming for example that $W/T = 0.75$, $\sigma/T = 0.036$ and $\sigma_C/T = 0.001$ and 0.052 . In this example, only when the parameter σ_C/T becomes as large as ~5% is there a significant increase in bit-error probability. This demonstrates that the quad-gate regenerator can show good tolerance to non-ideal operation of the gate-selection mechanism. This is in contrast with the dual-gate regenerator discussed earlier, where it was found that the bit-error probability is severely degraded when the gate selection is non-ideal, even if the standard deviation σ_C/T is very small (Figure 13).

Figure 18 shows a plot of the maximum value of the bit-error probability B for any phase angle θ in the range $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, calculated according to (21), and plotted

versus W/T . The results shown are for $\sigma/T = 0.036$ and $\sigma_c/T = 0.001$ and 0.05 . It is found that, depending on the value of σ_c/T , the optimum value of W/T lies in the range approximately $0.7\text{--}0.85$.

- Other calculations based on (21) show that with σ_c/T less than 4% , and
- 5 $\sigma/T \leq 0.032$, the maximum bit-error probability is less than 10^{-15} , regardless of the phase angle θ . Thus for a packet which passes through a sequence of 1000 regenerators of this type, the bit-error probability will be less than 10^{-12} .
- The quad-gate regenerator, with gate selection based on packet energy comparisons, works as well as it does because of the good design of the gate
- 10 selection strategy, given by (20). This strategy ensures that the clock pulse is generally positioned well inside the gate window of the selected gate (for example, with $W/T = 0.75$, the minimum separation between the clock pulse and the edge of the gate window is about $T/4$), and this reduces the probability of bit errors in the regenerated packet due to timing jitter in the incoming data.
- 15 The quad-gate regenerator is therefore a preferred embodiment of the invention, and preferably W/T is in the range $0.7\text{--}0.85$.

Packet time-position slippage

- A side-effect of this method of bit-asynchronous regeneration is that it introduces
- 20 a small non-deterministic delay; in other words, the time delay between the arrival of an incoming data packet and the emergence of the regenerated packet consists of a constant delay plus a small non-deterministic component. In the case of the quad-gate regenerator, provided all the optical paths between the clock source and the output of the selector switch S are equalised (as shown in Figure 14), to good
- 25 approximation the non-deterministic delay introduced by the regenerator can be considered to be bounded on the interval $(0, T/4)$. In the case of a single regenerator this small random time displacement of the regenerated packet may be considered negligible because it is only a fraction of the bit period T . However a packet that travels across a network through a sequence of many regenerators
- 30 may accumulate a significant net time displacement. A system error may occur if the accumulated displacement exceeds some specified limit of L bit periods (such as the width of the time guard bands before and after each data packet).

This accumulated displacement in time experienced by a packet is similar to the problem of a random walk, or the Brownian motion of a particle, in one dimension. At the i th regenerator the regenerated packet undergoes a non-deterministic time shift τ_i relative to the average value of delay. To a good approximation, τ_i can be 5 considered to follow a continuous uniform random distribution on the interval $(-T/8, T/8)$, with probability density function $U(\tau_i) = 4/T$. After a packet has passed through Q identical regenerators (with uncorrelated phases), its accumulated displacement from the average delay value is $\sum \tau_i$, which has an expected value of zero and variance $QT^2/192$. The probability that the magnitude 10 of the accumulated displacement exceeds the specified limit is therefore given by

$$P(|\sum \tau_i| > LT) = 2P\left(Z > \frac{LT}{\sqrt{Q/192}}\right), \quad (22)$$

where $Z \sim N(0,1)$ is the standard normal random variable, and it is assumed that Q is large (i.e. $Q > \sim 50$). For small values of Q we can use the property that $P(|\sum \tau_i| > LT)$ is exactly zero for $Q < 8L$ (since a directed (non-random) walk 15 consisting of $8L$ steps, each of length not more than $T/8$, cannot reach a distance greater than LT).

Figure 19 shows values for the probability that a packet suffers 'slippage' (ie. a time displacement greater than the specified limit LT), according to (22). The packet slippage probability is plotted versus Q , the number of regenerators passed, 20 for various values of LT . For networks in which $Q \leq 100$, 5-bit guard bands ($L = 5$) surrounding the data packet time slot would be sufficient to ensure a packet-slippage probability of less than 10^{-9} , whereas in larger networks with $Q \approx 1000$ guard bands of at least 14 bit periods are required. For a packet size of length $n = 1000$ bits, say, these guard bands represent a modest overhead on the 25 network throughput.

However, the analysis leading to (22) and the results shown in Figure 19 are idealised, because it was assumed that all four optical paths between the clock source and the output of the selector switch S are precisely equal. These results give the minimum theoretical guard-band width in the ideal case. In practice the 30 optical path equalisation inside each regenerator is subject to errors (errors in fabrication and assembly of the optical waveguides and components, as well as

fluctuations in path lengths due to environmental effects), and so the effects of these must be considered.

- Let us assume that a network contains of a large number of quad-gate regenerators, as shown in Figure 19, in each of which the optical paths between the clock source and the output of the selector switch S differ in delay time from the average value by an amount δ , where δ is a normally-distributed random variable, $\delta \sim N(0, \sigma_D)$. The standard deviation σ_D is a measure of the errors in equalising the delays of the optical paths. A packet that passes through the i th regenerator will undergo a non-deterministic time displacement d_i , relative to the average value of delay, given by $d_i = \delta_i + \tau_i$, where τ_i is a continuous uniform random variable on the interval $(-T/8, T/8)$. After a packet has passed through Q regenerators, its accumulated displacement from the average delay value is $\sum d_i$. The expected value of $\sum d_i$ is zero, and since δ_i and τ_i are independent variables, $\text{var } \sum d_i = Q(T^2/192 + \sigma_D^2)$. The probability that the magnitude of the accumulated displacement exceeds the specified limit of L bit periods is therefore given by

$$P(|\sum d_i| > LT) = 2P\left(Z > \frac{L}{\sqrt{Q(1/192 + (\sigma_D/T)^2)}}\right). \quad (23)$$

- The probabilities calculated according to (23) produce a graph identical to Figure 19, except that the values of LT shown in the legend should be multiplied by a factor $\sqrt{1+192(\sigma_D/T)^2}$. For example, if the tolerance in equalising the optical paths in the regenerators is $\sigma_D = T$, then to prevent packet slippage after 100 regenerators ($Q=100$) a guard band of at least $5 \times \sqrt{1+192} = 70$ bit periods is required, and for $Q=1000$ a guard band of at least $17 \times \sqrt{1+192} = 195$ bit periods is required. These examples show that the errors in equalising the optical paths in the regenerators should be minimised (preferably $\sigma_D < T$) to keep the guard bands as small as possible to avoid a costly reduction in network throughput.

- At a peak data rate of 100 Gbit/s ($T = 100$ ps), a tolerance of $\pm T$ on path delay represents a length tolerance of ± 2 mm in glass, or approximately ± 1 mm on a semiconductor substrate. If the optical components in the regenerator are in the form of an integrated monolithic semiconductor device, or discrete semiconductor devices mounted on a motherboard with planar waveguide interconnections, or discrete semiconductor devices connected by short optical fibre waveguides, these

tolerances can be readily achieved. If, however, the optical gates are based on a nonlinear optical fibre device such as a fibre loop mirror, as suggested earlier, the length of fibre used in each loop mirror may be as great as 1 km in order for the required optical intensity of the input data bits to be acceptably low. To fabricate 5 such devices to within a length tolerance of ± 2 mm represents a technical challenge. A practical solution would be to incorporate within each fibre loop a mechanical device to stretch a portion of the fibre so as to bring the overall length to the required value, or alternatively to incorporate an adjustable air delay line for the same purpose. In addition it would be beneficial to house all the fibre loops 10 together in an environmentally-controlled package, incorporating temperature control. Assuming a typical expansion coefficient of $10^{-6}/^{\circ}\text{C}$ for optical fibre, the temperature control would be required to be within $\pm 1 ^{\circ}\text{C}$ to maintain the length to within ± 2 mm, for loop lengths of ~ 1 km.

k -gate bit-asynchronous optical packet regenerator

15 It will be apparent to those skilled in the art, that the packet regenerator may be realised using different numbers of gates (for example 5 gates, or 8 gates) in addition to the 2-gate and 4-gate examples described above. Some general relations for a bit-asynchronous optical packet regenerator with k gates ($k > 3$) are as follows. The bit-error probability for the output from gate i is given by (12) with 20 $\psi = \psi_i$, and the expected value of the optical energy measured at the output of the i th gate is given by (13), where

$$\psi_i = \left(\frac{\theta}{2\pi} + \frac{i-1}{k} \right) \bmod 1 \quad (24)$$

and $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. An appropriate strategy for selecting the gate output in each packet time slot, based on a comparison of the energies of the packets emerging 25 from each gate, is:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } \langle E_j \rangle = \min \{ \langle E_i \rangle \} \text{ then select gate } & \left(1 + \left(j - 1 + \frac{k}{2} \right) \bmod k \right) \text{ if } k \text{ is even,} \\ & \text{or select gate } \left(1 + \left(j - 1 + \frac{k \pm 1}{2} \right) \bmod k \right) \text{ if } k \text{ is odd} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $\langle E_i \rangle$ denotes the set $\{ \langle E_1 \rangle, \langle E_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle E_k \rangle \}$.

Single Gate Regenerator

In an alternative embodiment, the local clock pulse source is again continuously free-running, but requires only one gate to modulate the output of the clock pulse source so as to regenerate the packet.

The principle of this alternative approach is shown in Figure 21. In each time slot, 5 the phase detector measures the phase angle θ between the free-running local pulse source and the incoming packet. This information is used to shift by an appropriate amount the phase of the control signal that is applied to the gate. The effect of the phase shifter is that when a packet data bit of value 1 causes the gate window to open, the window is located as near as possible centrally over the 10 clock pulse (as depicted in Figure 21). The phase detector and phase shifter operate once in each time slot.

In the ideal case the phase detector and phase shifter operate without error and the gate window is located exactly centrally over the clock pulse. In that case the main source of bit errors in the regenerated packet is jitter in the arrival time of the 15 packet data bits. The analysis of bit errors arising from timing jitter in this regenerator is then similar to the analysis of the effects of timing jitter in an OTDM demultiplexer given by Jinno (IEEE Journal of Quantum Electronics, vol 30, no.12, pp. 2842-2853, 1994). In particular, the bit-error probability as a function of the ratio of the gate widow width W to the bit period T , for various values of the rms 20 jitter σ in the arrival time of the packet data bits, is as depicted in Figure 5 of Jinno (1994). Some results of that analysis are that the minimum bit-error probability is obtained when W is equal to T , and also σ must be less than 0.071 T to ensure that the bit-error probability is less than 10^{-12} .

In a more realistic case, noise and other imperfections in the phase detector and 25 phase shifter cause systematic and random errors in the position of the gate window relative to the clock pulse. We neglect systematic errors and assume here that, as a result of the random errors, the position of the gate window is a normally distributed random variable with standard deviation σ_w . In that case, the bit-error analysis is again similar to that of Jinno (1994), and the results shown in 30 Figure 5 of Jinno can be used, except with the parameter σ replaced by $\sqrt{\sigma^2 + \sigma_w^2}$. Again it is found that the minimum bit-error probability is obtained when W is equal to T , and $\sqrt{\sigma^2 + \sigma_w^2}$ must be less than 0.071 T to ensure that the bit-error probability is less than 10^{-12} .

Figure 22 shows a possible embodiment of this alternative version of the bit-asynchronous regenerator, and it is assumed that the bit rate is 100 Gbit/s. The incoming packet (at wavelength λ_{in}) is first passed through an optical input stage with slowly-responding automatic level control (such as an erbium-doped fibre amplifier), and is then split into two paths, one leading to the phase detector and the other to the phase shifter. The local clock pulse source is a mode-locked ring fibre laser producing ~2 ps pulses at the wavelength λ_c . The output of this clock source is also split into two paths, one leading to the phase detector and the other to the optical gate. At the input to the phase detector, the clock pulses are broadened to ~10 ps, for example by passing them through the optical bandpass filter F1 (as shown in Figure 22) or by dispersion in fibre or in a chirped grating. The phase detector could be based on four-wave mixing in a semiconductor optical amplifier SOA FWM (as described for example by O Kanatani, S Kawanishi and M Sarawutari in Electronics Letters, vol.30, no.10, p.807, 1994). The output from the SOA FWM is isolated using the optical bandpass filter F2, and then detected. The electronic processing stage (which could include a low noise, high linearity sample-and-hold gate triggered by the global packet-level clock) measures the photodetector output voltage immediately after the arrival of the packet in each time slot, the measured voltage being given approximately by $A + B\cos\theta$, where A and B are constants and θ is the phase difference between the clock and the incoming packet bits. In the example implementation of the phase shifter, this signal is used to control the wavelength λ_{cw} of the continuous-wave distributed-feedback laser DFB in the phase shifter section. The output of the DFB laser is connected to the input of a date-driven optical switch denoted UNI1. This device could be the ultrafast nonlinear interferometer switch described by Hall and Rauschenbach (paper PD5, Proceedings of Conference on Optical Fiber Communication OFC'98, published by the Optical Society of America, February 1998), which has been shown to operate at a speed of 100 Gbit/s, although any data driven optical switch capable of producing approximately square switching windows with negligible variation in throughput delay would be suitable. The control signal to UNI1 is the input data packet. The action of UNI1 is therefore to shift the wavelength of the incoming packet from λ_{in} to the controlled wavelength λ_{cw} . This packet with shifted wavelength is then isolated using optical filter F3,

and passed through a dispersive optical delay line which imparts a time delay which depends on wavelength. This dispersive delay line could be a fibre grating device or, as shown in Figure 22, a length of optical fibre, such as a length of optical fibre of the type manufactured primarily for use in dispersion compensation.

5 The required minimum amount of phase shift of the control pulses is ± 5 ps (i.e. $\pm 0.5T$, where T is the bit period). Therefore, if for example the length of dispersion compensating fibre is 20m and the fibre dispersion is 100 ps/nm/km, the required shift in the wavelength λ_{cw} of the DFB laser is ± 2.5 nm, and this must be accomplished within the duration of the guard band between packets (say 10 ~ 5 ns). Alternatively, instead of controlling the wavelength of a single DFB laser, as shown in Figure 22, the control loop may contain means to select one of a number of lasers each having a different fixed wavelength. Because the optical fibre used as a dispersive delay line is a long device subject to changes in path length due to environmental factors (temperature, strain, etc), it is convenient as 15 in Figure 22 to pass the local clock pulses over the same fibre. This ensures that there is a negligibly small relative change in delay for the clock and control pulses. Alternatively, the error signal could be used to select one of a discrete number of optical paths.

A further alternative phase shifter comprises means to select one from a 20 number of optical delay lines each having a different fixed delay. The delay lines could consist of a silicon wafer on which is fabricated a silica-on-silicon planar lightwave circuit. This circuit may be integrated in hybrid fashion with an array of discrete or integrated semiconductor optical switching devices, such as semiconductor optical amplifiers or electroabsorption modulators. In this case the 25 electronic processing stage selects the appropriate delay line by switching on or off the appropriate semiconductor optical switching devices.

The resultant optical data bits, suitably phase shifted, are then used as the control pulses in the optical gate. The gate, denoted UNI2 in Figure 22, which is controlled by the phase-shifted packet data bits, is used to modulate the locally 30 generated clock pulses so as to produce a regenerated packet, synchronous with the local clock. Again the device UNI2 could be the ultrafast nonlinear interferometer switch described by Hall and Rauschenbach. In the case that the regenerator is receiving inputs from a multiple number of sources, if the embodiment shown in Figure 22 is employed, the same length of dispersion-

compensating fibre should be used for all inputs to provide appropriate phase shifts, so that all the regenerated packets are bit-synchronous.

Figure 23 shows an example of how the bit-asynchronous regenerator may be used in an optical network. Three sources of packets are depicted (sources A, B and C), each of which have independent, uncorrelated clocks. Merely for clarity, in Figure 23 the packets that have originated from source A, B or C are coloured white, black or shaded, respectively. The link from the output of each source carries only packets from that source, and therefore those packets are bit-synchronous with the clock in the source. This means that if a regenerator is required in the link, it may be a bit-synchronous type, similar for example to that depicted in Figure 2. By suitable adjustment of the transmitted power at the source, the power levels in any optical amplifiers used in the link, and also the power levels at any synchronous regenerators used in the link, the bits in the packets arriving at the input of a routing node (such as routing node 1) may conveniently have an intensity at an appropriately-defined standard 'digital' level (e.g. of the correct intensity to perform complete switching in the optical gate or gates used in the bit-asynchronous packet regenerator AR in the switching node). The inputs to the switching nodes will, in general, be bit-asynchronous. Thus, for example in Figure 23, the packets that arrive at switching node 1 having originated from sources A and B (i.e. 'black' and 'white' packets), are bit-asynchronous because the clocks in sources A and B are uncorrelated. Each input to the routing node may pass through a bit-asynchronous packet regenerator AR, and each of these regenerators in a switching node share the same local optical clock pulse source. Each output from the routing switch may carry packets that have originated from more than one source. For example, in Figure 23, an output link from routing node 1 may contain packets that have originated from sources A and B (i.e. 'black' and 'white' packets), but the action of the bit-asynchronous packet regenerators AR in the switching node is such that all the packets carried on this output link are now in bit-synchronism with the local clock in routing node 1, despite their different sources. Therefore if a regenerator is required in this output link, it may be a bit-synchronous type. In Figure 23, the inputs to routing node 2 are from routing node 1 and from source C, and these inputs are bit-asynchronous. Each of these inputs pass through bit-asynchronous regenerators AR that share the same local optical clock pulse source, so that an output from the routing node

2 may contain packets that originate from various sources, including sources A, B and C, but all the packets on the output links are again in bit-synchronism with the local clock in routing node 2. As is shown schematically in Figure 26, a node, such as that referenced node B, may combine an add/drop function for local traffic
5 as well as regenerating packets for onward transmission.

By using the bit-asynchronous packet regenerators in the switching nodes, we have shown that it is possible to design a network in which each individual link carries packets which are bit-synchronous (i.e. which share the same bit-level clock) and which share a standard power level. This allows simpler designs of
10 regenerators in the links, and also removes the need for packet-by-packet power level equalisation. However, by regenerating the packets at each node in bit-asynchronous fashion, this entirely eliminates the need to maintain bit-level synchronism between the different links and routing nodes throughout the network - and thus we have eliminated the major architectural limitation of synchronous
15 OTDM networks.

There is described above with reference to Figure 21, an alternative approach to the bit-asynchronous packet regenerator, in which the local clock pulse source is again continuously free-running, but which requires only one gate to modulate the output of the clock pulse source so as to regenerate the packet. A
20 measurement of the phase θ was used to control a phase shifter acting on the control signal to the optical gate. This alternative approach is, in effect, a feed-forward, open loop control system. There is also described, in the immediately preceding section, the use of a regenerator in a network in which incoming packets at the regenerator are bit-synchronous (i.e. they all share the same bit-
25 level clock). Therefore in this case the phase θ is only very slowly varying from one packet to the next, and this allows another arrangement for the control of the phase shifter, as will be described with reference to Figures 24 and 25.

Figure 24 shows the principle of this alternative approach. In this case the phase detector measures the phase angle θ between the free-running local
30 pulse source and the control signal applied to the optical gate (i.e. the packet data bits after the phase shifter). The measured phase angle is then used to control the phase shifter. The control signal to the phase shifter may be either an analogue or digital signal (preferably a digital signal at the packet level), and this control signal would be gated at the rate of once per packet time slot. In contrast with the

arrangement shown in Figure 21, this is a feed-back, closed loop control system. This has the advantage that the system is free of systematic errors and drift, even if the phase detector is nonlinear. Furthermore, drifts in the phase shifter are automatically compensated for by the closed loop (because the phase shifter is 5 inside the feedback path). Because, in a practical system, the feedback delay may be greater than the duration of a packet time slot, this closed-loop control system will not be sufficiently fast acting to track substantial variations in phase θ from packet to packet. However this is not an important limitation in certain important network applications, as described above.

10 Figure 25 shows an example embodiment of this alternative form of the bit-asynchronous packet regenerator. The various designated components are as described previously for Figure 22.

The description above includes a discussion of the allowable amount of frequency difference between the clock at the packet source and the clock in the 15 asynchronous regenerator. The present embodiment of the asynchronous regenerator using a feed-back, closed-loop arrangement may impose a further restriction on the amount of frequency difference that can be tolerated. It is necessary that the frequency difference between the bit rate of the incoming packet and the full-rate optical clock source in the regenerator is significantly 20 smaller than the effective bandwidth of the control loop (including the electronic bandwidth, the feedback delay and the speed of response of the phase shifter). Following normal engineering practice, the frequency offset should be at least an order of magnitude smaller than the effective bandwidth of the control loop. For example, if the determining factor for the control-loop bandwidth is an electronic 25 bandwidth of 10 kHz, then the magnitude of the frequency offset $f_R - f_S$ should be no greater than 100 Hz (where f_S and f_R are the frequencies of the microwave oscillators depicted in Figure 4, and it is assumed that $M_S = M_R = 10$).

In an alternative embodiment, the phase shifter shown in Figure 25, consisting of DFB laser, switching device UNI1, optical filter F3 and dispersion-compensating fibre, could be replaced by a variable optical delay line. Since, in 30 the network scenario discussed above, and illustrated by Figure 23, the packets arriving at the regenerator may be in bit-synchronism. Therefore the bit-asynchronous regenerator merely needs to track the relatively slow variations in

the phase difference between the incoming packets and the local clock, rather than abrupt packet-to-packet phase variations. The control loop may therefore be relatively slow acting (much slower than on a packet-by-packet basis). However, the control loop bandwidth should not be so low as to restrict the amount of

5 frequency offset that can be accommodated. Suppose, for example, that the delay line is a variable motor-controlled device, such as a motor-driven fibre stretcher, which is capable of changing the value of the optical delay at a maximum rate of 100 ps per second. This corresponds to 10 bit periods per second at 100 Gbit/s, for example, and so the maximum allowable frequency offset would be an order of

10 magnitude less than that (to ensure the effective control loop bandwidth is at least 10 times faster than the fastest variations in the signal to be controlled), i.e. ~1 Hz, which is a severe restriction. Therefore a motor-controlled phase shifter may not have sufficient speed of response for this application. Another type of variable optical delay line is a fibre stretcher consisting of a length of fibre coiled tightly

15 around a piezo-electric drum. This type of stretcher is capable of 100 μm length change at 20 kHz, or approximately 1 ps delay change in 50 ms. In order to achieve a range of ± 5 ps (i.e. ± 0.5 bit periods at 100 Gbit/s), a cascade of piezo-electric drum stretcher units (10-20 units) would allow a frequency offset of a few kHz between the local and distant clocks.

CLAIMS

1. A method of operating a node in an optical communications network including
 - a) receiving at the node an optical packet; and
 - b) generating from the said optical packet received at the said node a regenerated optical packet having a phase determined by a local bit-level clock source and independent of the bit-level phase of the said packet received at the node.
- 10 2. A method of operating an optical regenerator comprising:
 - a) receiving an optical packet at an input of the regenerator; and
 - b) generating from the said optical packet a regenerated optical packet having a phase determined by a local bit-level clock source and independent of the bit-level phase of the said packet received at the node.
- 15 3. A method according to claim 1 or 2, in which the step of generating a regenerated optical packet includes gating, using the received optical packet, an optical clock signal from the local bit-level clock source.
- 20 4. A method according to claim 3, including:
 - i) measuring the phase of the said optical packet;
 - ii) depending on the result of step (i), modifying the phase of the optical packet; and
 - iii) subsequently applying data signals from the optical packet as a control signal to gate means arranged to gate the said optical clock signal.
- 25 5. A method according to claim 4, in which in step (I) comprises measuring the phase difference between the incoming optical packet and the local clock source.
- 30 6. A method according to claim 4 or 5, in which the gate window is equal to the bit period.

7. A method according to any one of claims 4 to 6, in which the phase difference between the optical packet and the local optical clock is detected by means of a nonlinear interaction between the clock signal and the optical packet,
 - 5 8. A method according to claim 7, in which the nonlinear interaction occurs in an optical fibre device.
 9. A method according to claim 7, in which the nonlinear interaction occurs in a semiconductor device.
- 10
10. A method according to claim 9, in which the nonlinear interaction is a process of four-wave mixing.
 11. A method according to any one of claims 4 to 10, in which the phase of the optical packet is modified by passing through a wavelength converter and a dispersive optical delay line.
12. A method according to claim 3, including:
- 20 passing the optical clock signal through each of a plurality of gate means;
 applying data signals from the received optical packet as a control signal to each of the plurality of gate means with different delays of a fraction of a bit period relative to the optical clock signal input to the gate means; and
 selecting the output of one of the plurality of gate means to provide the regenerated optical packet.
- 25
13. A method according to claim 12, in which the difference in delays is equal to T/k where T is the bit period and k is the number of optical gate means.
 - 30 14. A method according to claim 12 or 13, in which the width W of the gate window is not less than T/k and not more than T , where T is the bit period and k is the number of optical gates.

15. A method according to any one of claims 12 to 14, including making a measurement of a parameter of an optical signal output from the gate means, and selecting the output of one of the plurality of gates to provide the regenerated optical packet depending on the results of the said measurement.

5

16. A method according to claim 15, in which the said parameter is the energy of the optical signal.

17. A method according to claim 16, including comparing the energies of the 10 signals from the plurality of gate means and making the said selection depending on the results of the said comparison.

18. A method according to claim 15, in which the said parameter is derived from the number of bit errors in the optical signal, and the signal with the lowest 15 number of bit errors is selected to provide the regenerated optical packet.

19. A method according to any one of the preceding claims, including further processing the regenerated optical packet in optical processing means clocked by a signal from the local optical clock source.

20

20. A method of operating a communications network comprising a plurality nodes interconnected by an optical transmission medium, the method including:

transmitting an optical packet onto the network,

and at a network node, receiving the said packet and generating from the 25 said packet a regenerated optical packet having a phase determined by a local optical clock source and independent of the phase of the said packet received at the network node.

21. A method according to claim 20, including receiving at the network node 30 optical packets from a plurality of different sources and having different respective phases.

22. A method according to claim 20 or 21, further comprising outputting the regenerated optical packet onto the optical transmission medium.

23. A regenerator for optical packets including a local optical pulse generator comprising a free-running oscillator independent in frequency and phase from the packet source.

5

24. A regenerator for optical packets comprising:

a) means for receiving an optical packet; and

b) means for generating from the said optical packet received at the said node a regenerated optical packet having a phase determined by a local bit-level 10 clock source and independent of the bit-level phase of the said packet received at the node.

25. A regenerator according to claim 23 or 24, including gate means controlled by a data signal from an optical packet and connected to a local optical clock source.

15

26. A regenerator according to claim 25, including

a plurality of gate means each arranged to receive a clock signal from a local optical source and a control signal from a packet received at the regenerator;

means for generating different delays of the control signals relative to the 20 clock signals at different respective gate means;

means for selecting an output from one of the plurality of gate means.

27. A regenerator according to claim 26 including at least four gate means.

25 28. A regenerator according to claim 27, in which the ratio W/T of the gate window W to the bit period T lies substantially in the range 0.7 to 0.85.

29. A regenerator according to any one of claims 14 to 18, in which the local optical clock source is a mode-locked laser.

30

30. A regenerator according to claim 29, in which the mode-locked laser is passively mode-locked.

31. A node for connection in an optical communications network and including a regenerator according to any one of claims 24 to 30
32. A node for connection in an optical communications network and arranged to operate by a method according to any one of claims 1 to 22.
- 5 33. An optical communications network including a node according to claim 31 or 32.
34. A regenerator according to claim 24 including means for measuring the phase of the optical packet, and means responsive to the said means for measuring for modifying the phase of a control signal applied to gate means, which gate means
- 10 gate the optical clock signal thereby producing the regenerated optical packet.
35. A regenerator for optical packets according to claim 23, including an optical gate controlled by the data bits in the incoming packet, and in which the output of the local optical pulse generator is connected to the input of the said optical gate,
- 15 and means to detect the phase difference between the incoming packet and the local optical pulse generator, and means to shift the phase of the control signal applied to the optical gate in correspondence with the said detected phase difference in such fashion as to obtain a correctly regenerated optical data packet at the output of the said optical gate.
- 20
36. A regenerator according to claim 34 or 35, in which the gate window width is equal to the bit period.
37. A regenerator according to any one of claims 34 to 36, in which the phase difference is detected by means of a nonlinear optical interaction between the local optical pulse generator and the incoming data packet.
- 25
- 38 A regenerator according to claim 37, in which the phase difference is detected by means of a nonlinear optical interaction between the local optical pulse generator and the incoming data packet and said nonlinear optical interaction occurs in a fibre device.
- 30
- 39 A regenerator according to claim 37, in which the phase difference is detected by means of a nonlinear optical interaction between the local optical

pulse generator and the incoming data packet and said nonlinear optical interaction occurs in a semiconductor device.

40. A regenerator according to claim 39, in which the said nonlinear optical
5 interaction is four wave mixing.

41. A regenerator according to any one of claims 34 to 40, in which the means to shift the phase of the control signal includes an optical path having a variable delay.

10 42. A regenerator according to any one of claims 34 to 40, in which the means to shift the phase of the control signal consists of a plurality of optical delay lines having different respective delays, and means for switching the control signal through a selected one of the plurality of optical delay lines.

15 43. A regenerator according to any one of claims 34 to 40, in which the means to shift the phase of the control signal consists of a wavelength convertor and a dispersive optical delay line.

44. A regenerator according to claim 43, in which the said dispersive optical delay line consists of an optical fibre.

15 45. A regenerator according to claim 43, in which the said dispersive optical delay
20 line consists of a fibre grating device.

46. A regenerator according to any one of claims 34 to 45 including a feed-forward open loop control system in which the phase of the incoming packet is detected before passing through the phase shifter.

25

47. A regenerator according to any one of claims 34 to 45 including a feed-back closed loop control system in which the phase of the incoming packet is detected after passing through the phase shifter.

30 48. A regenerator according to claim 29, in which the mode-locked laser is a ring laser.

49. A node for an optical communications network including a regenerator according to any one of claims 34 to 48.

50. An optical communications network including a node according to claim 49.
51. An optical network in which bit-asynchronous regenerators are located at switching nodes.
52. An optical communications network according to claim 33, or 50 or 51 in which the links between nodes carry packets in a bit-synchronous fashion.
53. A network according to claim 52, including bit-synchronous regenerators in links between nodes.
54. A method according to any one of claims 4 to 10, in which the phase of the optical packet is modified by passing the optical packet through an an optical path having a variable delay.
55. A method according to any one of claims 4 to 10, in which the phase of the optical packet is modified by passing the optical packet through a selected optical delay path.
56. An optical communications network in which nodes at different locations in the optical communications network process optical packets asynchronously at the bit-level.

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5





Figure 6


Figure 7

Figure 8




Figure 9




Figure 10





Figure 11

Figure 12




Figure 13




Figure 14




Figure 15




Figure 16




Figure 17




Figure 18




Figure 19




Figure 20





Figure 21




Figure 22





Figure 23

Figure 24





Figure 25

Figure 26

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/GB 99/01159

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 6 H04L7/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 H04L H04Q H04J

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	HAAS Z: "THE "STAGGERING SWITCH": AN ELECTRONICALLY CONTROLLED OPTICAL PACKETSWITCH" JOURNAL OF LIGHTWAVE TECHNOLOGY, vol. 11, no. 5/06, 1 May 1993 (1993-05-01), pages 925-936, XP000396722 page 932, column 1, line 13 - column 2, line 11 --- EP 0 589 617 A (AMERICAN TELEPHONE & TELEGRAPH) 30 March 1994 (1994-03-30) column 1, line 27 - line 48 column 7, line 58 - column 8, line 8 --- -/-	1,20-22, 32
X		2,23,24

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

31 August 1999

Date of mailing of the international search report

06/09/1999

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
 Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
 Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Dhondt, E

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/GB 99/01159

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 0 675 664 A (CSELT CENTRO STUDI LAB TELECOM) 4 October 1995 (1995-10-04) page 8, column 8, line 30 - column 10, line 8 ---	2,23,24
A	SEO S -W ET AL: "TRANSPARENT OPTICAL NETWORKS WITH TIME-DIVISION MULTIPLEXING" IEEE JOURNAL ON SELECTED AREAS IN COMMUNICATIONS, vol. 14, no. 5, 1 June 1996 (1996-06-01), pages 1039-1051, XP000590732 page 1046, column 1, line 21 - page 1047, column 1, line 3 ---	3,26
A	GB 2 302 225 A (FRANCE TELECOM) 8 January 1997 (1997-01-08) page 6, line 4 - line 30 page 12, line 6 - page 13, line 14 -----	1,3, 20-24

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/GB 99/01159

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)		Publication date
EP 0589617	A	30-03-1994		US 5309267 A JP 6235951 A		03-05-1994 23-08-1994
EP 0675664	A	04-10-1995		IT T0940241 A CA 2145817 A DE 675664 T JP 2750669 B JP 7283826 A US 5526156 A		02-10-1995 01-10-1995 19-11-1998 13-05-1998 27-10-1995 11-06-1996
GB 2302225	A	08-01-1997		FR 2735637 A DE 19623634 A US 5798852 A		20-12-1996 19-12-1996 25-08-1998